A NEW TRITERPENE GLUCOSIDE FROM CYMBIDIUM GIGANTEUM*

JAN DAHMÉN and KURT LEANDER

Department of Organic Chemistry, Arrhenius Laboratory, University of Stockholm, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

(Received 10 May 1978)

Key Word Index—Cymbidium giganteum; Orchidaceae; triterpene glucoside; cymbidoside.

Abstract—A new triterpene glucoside, cymbidoside, has been isolated from Cymbidium giganteum. Its structure is shown to be 4ξ -(β -D-glucopyranosyloxymethyl)- 14α -methyl- 22ξ , 24ξ , 25, 28-tetrahydroxy-9, 19-cyclo- 5α , 9β -ergostan-3-one.

A glucoside, named cymbidoside, was isolated from Cymbidium giganteum Wall. by gel permeation on Sephadex LH-20 followed by chromatography on Si gel. Spectral and elemental analyses of cymbidoside (1) and its corresponding aglycone (2) indicated that 1 had the molecular formula $C_{36}H_{60}O_{11}$. Sugar [2, 3] and methylation [4] analyses showed 1 to be a glucopyranoside. On enzymatic hydrolysis with β -D-glucosidase from almonds, 1 gave the corresponding aglycone 2, named cymbidosone. This result, together with the large coupling constant (7.5 Hz) of the anomeric proton in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1, showed that cymbidoside (1) is a β -D-glucopyranoside.

On treatment of cymbidoside (1) with aqueous NaOH in THF the α,β -unsaturated ketone 4 was rapidly produced, thereby establishing the position of the sugar moiety. Hydrogenation of cymbidoside (1) in aqueous NaOH-THF with palladium as a catalyst gave the methyl derivative 5.

On treatment with acetic anhydride-pyridine, cymbidosone (2) formed the triacetate 3, the ¹H NMR spectrum of which, compared with that of 2 showed one of the acetylated hydroxyl functions to be secondary and the other two primary. Oxidation of 3 with HIO4 in ether [5] gave the diketone 6. On treatment with HIO, cymbidosone (2) rapidly consumed one equivalent of the reagent to give the diketone 7 and then, if an excess of the reagent was used, an acid which was not characterized. In an analogous manner, the ketone 5 was oxidized with an excess of HIO4 in ether, and when the oxidation was followed by methylation with diazomethane the methyl ester 8 was obtained. Dehydration of 8 using POCl₃ in pyridine gave the α,β -unsaturated ester 14, which on hydrogenation in ethyl acetate with palladium as a catalyst gave the saturated compound 9. Reduction of the 3-keto function in 9 with NaBH4 in methanol produced the two epimeric alcohols 10 and 11 in the ratio of 2:1. Acetylation of 10 and 11 with acetic anhydride-pyridine gave the corresponding acetates 12 and 13. The map and ¹H NMR data of 12 are in agree-

1 R = β -D-glucopyranosyl; R' = H

2R = R' = H

 $3 R = R' = COCH_3$

 $6 R = R' = OCOCH_3$; $R'' = CH_2OCOCH_3$ 7 R = R' = OH; $R'' = COH(CH_3)$,

^{*} Part 8 in the series "Studies on Orchidaceae Glycosides". For part 7 see ref. [1].

ment with those reported [6] for methyl 3β -acetoxy- 4α , 14α - dimethyl - 25,26,27,28 - tetranor - 9,19 - cyclo - 5α , 9β -ergostan-24-oate derived from cycloeucalenol (15). From these results it is evident that cymbidosone must be 4ξ -(β -D-glucopyranosyloxymethyl)- 14α -methyl- 22ξ , 24ξ , 25,28-tetrahydroxy-9,19-cyclo- 5α , 9β -ergostan-3-one.

 13 C NMR spectra were reorded for the compounds 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 and 14 (Table 1). The assignments of the carbon resonances were made on the basis of those reported [7] for cycloeucalenone (16) together with off-resonance measurements. The chemical shifts for the relevant carbon atoms throughout the degradation series are in good agreement with those reported for 16 except for those for C-7 and C-16 for which the assignments in 16, on the basis of the results here obtained should probably be reversed (i.e. C-7 δ 26.9 and C-16 δ 28.0 ppm).

 $16 R_1R' = O$

Table 1. 13C NMR shifts in ppm

	11						
	2	7	3	5	8	14	16
C-1	32.1	32.2	32.7	32.8	32.8	32.8	32.8
C-2	41.3	41.3	41.0	40.9	40.9	40.9	40.8
C-3	212.0	211.9	209.6	213.5	213.0	212.7	212.2
C-4	57.7	57.7	54.2	50.0	49.9	50.0	49.8
C-5	40.5	40.5	41.6	46.0	46.0	46.0	45.9
C-6	25.3	25.4	25.1	25.2	25.2	25.2	25.1
C-7	27.2	27.0	27.1	27.0	26.9	27.0	28.0
C-8	47.4	47.4	47.1	47.1	47.0	47.1	46.9
C-9	24.8	24.8	25.1	24.9	24.9	24.8	24.9
C-10	28.8	28.9	28.9	29.3	29.3	29.4	29.3
C-11	25.8	25.8	25.6	25.9	25.8	25.9	25.8
C-12	35.8	35.8	35.4	35.5	35.5	35.5	35.3
C-13	45.9	46.0	45.7	45.7	45.8	45.8	45.2
C-14	48.6	48.5	48.4	48.4	48.4	48.9	48.7
C-15	33.0	32.9	32.7	32.7	32.7	32.7	32.8
C-16	27.7	27.5	26.5	27.3	27.1	28.2	26.9
C-17	49.6	49.7	49.1	49.2	49.0	51.3	52.1
C-18	18.2	18.2	18.1	17.9	17.9	18.1	17.9
C-19	26.8	26.8	26.9	27.1	27.1	27.1	27.1
C-20	43.9	43.0	39.7	42.8	41.0	40.2	36.0
C-21	12.6	12.7	12.3	12.0	12.0	18.8	18.3
C-22	69.4	69.7	73.3	69.5	69.7	155.1	35.0
C-23	34.2	38.0	30.8	33.4	35.0	118.7	31.3
C-24	77.5	216.6	75.2	76.5	174.2	167.3	156.1
C-25	75.3	77.1	75.1	75.3	_		33.7
C-26	26.1	27.0	24.9	24.9			21.8
C-27	26 1	27.0	24.8	24.6			21.8
C-28	66.1	_	66.6	65.9			105.6
C-29	19.5	19.5	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.2	19.1
C-30	57.5	57.6	59.8	10.8	10.7	10.8	10.7
CH ₂ O	_		r name		51.8	51.3	-
<u>C</u> H ₃ CO	-		21.6	- territorio	_	******	
_ 3			20.9			*********	_
	_		20.9			o consistent	_
CH ₃ CO			170.1				
3—			170.8			****	
			170.8	_		_	

Solvents: compounds 2 and 7 in C_5D_5N , compounds 3, 5, 8, 14 and 16 in $CDCl_3$.

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps are corr. Elemental analyses were carried out at Alfred Bernhardt Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium, Elbach über Engelskirchen, West Germany. Plates precoated with Si gel F_{254} (Merck) were used for TLC and spots were visualized by spraying with H_2SO_4 (8%) followed by heating to 120°.

Plant material. Cymbidium giganteum Wall. was delivered from Mr. N. Prakash, Chandra Orchid and Bulb Nurseries, 8.5 miles P.O. Kalimpong, West Bengal, India.

Isolation of cymbidoside (1). The green parts of fresh plants of C. giganteum (5.8 kg) were extracted with MeOH (22 l.) and the soln was concd to 1 l. A part (0.85 l.) of this extract was diluted with $\rm H_2O$ (0.85 l.) and washed with CHCl₃ (5 × 200 ml). The aq. layer was saturated with BuOH and extracted with BuOH saturated with $\rm H_2O$ (5 × 200 ml). The butanolic phase was washed with $\rm H_2O$ (5 × 200 ml). The butanolic phase was washed with $\rm H_2O$ (5 × 200 ml). The butanolic phase was washed with $\rm H_2O$ (5.2 g) of the residue (10.3 g) was filtered through a column of Sephadex LH-20 (7.5 × 57 cm) using EtOH- $\rm H_2O$ (1:1) as eluent. The fraction (1.25 g) containing 1 ($\rm R_f$ 0.8, CHCl₃-MeOH- $\rm H_2O$, 65:35:10 lower phase) was chromatographed on Si gel (5 × 12 cm) using CHCl₃-EtOH (2:1) as eluent to give crude 1. Recrystallization 2 × from iso-PrOH- $\rm H_2O$ gave 1 (325 mg). Needles, mp 150-152°, [$\rm al_{578}^{2278} + 24^{\circ}$ (c 1.5, MeOH). (Found: C, 63.1; H, 8.7; O, 28.0. $\rm C_{36} H_{60} O_{11}$. 1 $\rm H_2O$ requires: C, 63.0; H, 9.1; O, 28.0 %). IR $\rm v_{max}^{\rm Bar}$ cm⁻¹: 3700-3000 (s), 1700 (s). ¹H NMR ($\rm C_5 D_5 N$ + $\rm D_2 O$): δ 0.30 (d, 1H, $\rm J$ = 4 Hz), 0.49 (d, 1H,

J = 4 Hz), 0.83 (s, 3H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 1.25 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 1.72 (s, 6H), 3.80-4.84 (11H), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz).

Preparation of cymbidosone (2) A suspension of cymbidoside (1, 260 mg) and β -D-glucosidase from almonds (260 mg) in a mixture of potassium hydrogen phthalate buffer soln (pH 5.25, 75 ml) and MeOH (3 ml) was stirred at 37° for 4 days. The mixture was saturated with BuOH and extracted with BuOH saturated with H_2O (5 × 20 ml). The butanolic phase was evapd to dryness. Chromatography on Si gel $(2.6 \times 16 \text{ cm})$ using CHCl₃-MeOH (9·1) as eluent gave 2 (141 mg). Needles (EtOH), mp 226–229° (d): $[\alpha]_{578}^{22}$ +28° (c 1.2, C₅H₅N). (Found: C, 71.4; H, 9.8; O, 18.8 C₃₀H₅₀O₆ requires: C, 71.1; H, 10.0; O, 18.9%). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3620–3100 (s), 3055 (w), 1695 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃ + D₂O): δ 0.43 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.63 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.90 (s, 3H), 0.92 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 3.65 and 3.76 (AB pattern, 2H, J = 12 Hz), 3.69 and 3.87 (AB part of an ABX system, 2H, $J_{AB} = 12$ Hz, $J_{AX} = 3$ Hz, $J_{BX} = 6$ Hz), 4.13 (d, 1H, further coupled, J = 10 Hz). Ms, m/e(rel. int.): 506 (M⁺, 0.2), 488 (1), 470 (3), 457 (2), 452 (2), 439 (3), 411 (2), 370 (2), 335 (3), 344 (5), 326 (3), 315 (5), 297 (6), 201 (7), 187 (8), 175 (14), 173 (13), 161 (13), 159 (13), 149 (13), 145 (100), 127 (27), 101 (43), 95 (32), 81 (28), 73 (28), 59 (33), 55 (28), 43 (53).

Acetylation of cymbidosone (2). Cymbidosone (2) was treated with an excess of Ac₂O-Py (1:1) at room temp. for 18 hr. The reaction mixture was evapd to give 3 as an amorphous solid $[\alpha]_{578}^{21}$ +38° (c 0.7, CHCl₃). IR ν^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 3600-3200 (m), 1730 (s, br). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.42 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.65 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.88 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 0.91 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 3H), 1.27 (s, 6H), 2.03 (s, 6H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.2-2.6 (3H), 4.06 and 4.20 (AB pattern, 2H, J = 12 Hz), 4.15-4.50 (2H), 5.26 (d, 1H, further coupled, J = 8 Hz).

Oxidation of 3 with HIO₄. 3 (13 mg) was dissolved in Et₂O (1 ml) and a saturated soln of HIO₄ × 2 H₂O in Et₂O was added dropwise at room temp. until the starting material was absent (HIO₄ consumed: 0.02 mmol). The reaction was followed by TLC (ChCl₃-MeOH, 19:1, R_f 3 0.4, R_f 6: 0.7). The reaction mixture was filtered, evapd and the residue was chromatographed on Si gel (1.5 × 17 cm) using CHCl₃-MeOH (19:1) as eluent to give 6 as an amorphous solid. $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^2 \zeta_1^2 + 38^{\circ} & (c \ 1.1, CHCl_3) \end{bmatrix}$. IR v_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 1740 (s), 1715 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.44 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.66 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.91 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 0.92 (s, 3H), 1.02 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 6H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 4.16-4.38 (2H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 5.36 (d, 1H, further coupled, J = 9 Hz).

Oxidation of 2 with one equiv. HIO₄. Cymbidosone (2, 12.8 mg) was dissolved in THF (13 ml) and a saturated soln of HIO₄ × 2 H₂O in Et₂O was added dropwise during 20 min (amount HIO₄ added: 0.025 mmol). The reaction was followed by TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 9:1, R_f 2: 0.3, R_f 7: 0.6). The reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (2 ml), washed with saturated NaHCO₃ soln and then with H₂O. The etheral layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and evapd to give crude 7. Chromatography on Si gel (0.7 × 16 cm) using CHCl₃-MeOH (9:1) as eluent gave 7 (8.2 mg). Needles (MeOH-H₂O), mp 189-191°: $[\alpha]_{278}^{27} + 54^{\circ}$ (c 0.6, CHCl₃). IR v_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 3600-3000 (m), 3030 (w), 1715 (s). H NMR (CDCl₃ +D₂O): δ 0.44 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.65 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.94 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 1.05 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 6H), 3.69 and 3.89 (AB part of an ABX system, 2H, J_{AB} = 12 Hz, J_{AX} = 3 Hz, J_{BX} = 6 Hz), 4.19 (dt, 1H, J₁ = 9 Hz, J₂, J₃ = 3 Hz).

Preparation of 4. Cymbidoside (1, 56 mg) was dissolved in a mixture of THF (3.5 ml) and $\rm H_2O$ (2.5 ml). NaOH (2M, 0.15 ml) was added and the mixture was kept at 35° for 15 min and then neutralized (HCl, 2M). The THF was evapd and the residue was extracted with $\rm Et_2O$ (5 × 1 ml). The $\rm Et_2O$ phase was dried (Na₂SO₄) and evapd to give crude 4. PLC on Si gel using CH₃CN-toluene (4:5) as eluent and eluting the gel with EtOAc gave 4 (29 mg) as an amorphous solid (if MeOH was used as eluent another compound was obtained which, according to its ¹H NMR spectrum, should be a MeOH adduct). [α]²⁵₁₇₈ +78° (c 0.8, CHCl₃). IR ν ^{KBr}_{max} cm⁻¹: 3620-3120 (m), 1690 (s), 1615 (m). UV λ ^{EOH}_{max} nm (e): 314 (29), 226.5 (3827). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.33 and 0.38 (AB pattern, 2H, J = 4 Hz),

0.92 (d, 3H, J = 7 Hz), 0.96 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 3.69 and 3.79 (AB pattern, 2H, J = 11.5 Hz), 4.14 (d, 1H, further coupled, J = 10 Hz), 5.04 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 2$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz), 5.86 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 2$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz). Preparation of 5. Cymbidoside (1, 80 mg) was dissolved in a

Preparation of 5. Cymbidoside (1, 80 mg) was dissolved in a mixture of THF (5.5 ml) and H_2O (1 ml) and NaOH (0.5 M, 0.5 ml) was added. This mixture was hydrogenated over Pd (66 mg, 10% on C) at room temp. and atm pres. for 30 min. The reaction was followed by TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH- H_2O , 65:35:10 lower phase and CHCl₃-MeOH, 9:1). After neutralization (HCl, 1M), the mixture was filtered and evapd. PLC on Si gel using toluene-EtOAc-MeOH (4:10:1) as eluent gave 5 (38 mg). Needles (MeOH- H_2O), mp 193-196°; $[\alpha]_{578}^{21}$ + 56° (c 0.9, CHCl₃). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3500 (m), 3360 (m), 3180 (m), 3035 (w), 1710 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.41 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.63 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.92 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz). 0.99 (d, 3H, J = 7 Hz), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 3.69 and 3.79 (AB pattern, 2H, J = 11.5 Hz), 4.16 (d, 1H, further coupled, J = 10 Hz).

Oxidation of 5 with an excess of HIO₄. 5 (23 mg) was dissolved in THF (2.5 ml) and a slight excess of HIO₄ × 2 H₂O in Et₂O was added. The reaction was followed by TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH, 9:1) After being stirred at room temp. for 80 min the reaction mixture was filtered, diluted with Et₂O (10 ml) and extracted with saturated NaHCO₃ soln. The aq. layer was washed with Et₂O, acidified (HCl, 2M) and extracted with Et₂O. Methylation with an excess of CH₂N₂ in Et₂O followed by chromatography on Si gel (1.4 × 12 cm) using CHCl₃–MeOH (9:1) as eluent gave crude 8. Crystallization from hexane–Et₂O at –20° gave 8 (10 mg). Needles, mp 145–148°; $[\alpha]_{578}^{22} + 43^{\circ}$ (c 0.6, CHCl₃). IR $\nu_{\rm msc}^{\rm KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 3520 (m), 3420–3280 (m), 3040 (w), 1745 (s), 1715 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.40 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.63 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.90 (s, 3H), 0.90 (d, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz), 0.99 (d, 3H, J = 7 Hz), 1.04 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 4.02–4.25 (m, 1H).

Preparation of 14. 7 (10 mg) was dissolved in Py (1.5 ml) and POCl₃ (0.05 ml) was added. The reaction mixture was kept at room temp. for 2 hr and then poured into H₂O and extracted with Et₂O. The ethereal layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and evapd to give 14 (4.7 mg). Needles (MeOH), mp 153–156°: $[\alpha]_{5.78}^{211}$ +60° (c 0.4, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.63 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.75 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.99 (d, 3H. J = 6.5 Hz), 1.05 (s, 3H), 1.06 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 3.73 (s, 3H), 5.78 (d, 1H, J = 15.5 Hz), 6.87 (dd, 1H, J₁ = 15.5 Hz, J₂ = 8.5 Hz).

Preparation of 9. 14 was hydrogenated at room temp. and atm pres. in EtOAc for 3 hr with Pd (10% on C) as a catalyst, filtered and evapd to give 9. Needles (MeOH-H₂O), mp 135-136.5°; $[\alpha]_{578}^{21}$ +58° (c 0.4, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.40 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.62 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.89 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.99 (d, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.01 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H).

Reduction of 9 with NaBH₄. 9 (5.5 mg) was dissolved in MeOH (2.5 ml) and NaBH₄ (1 mg) was added. The reaction mixture was kept at room temp. for 45 min, acidified with HOAc and evapd. MeOH was added and the soln was evapd again. This procedure was repeated $2 \times$. PLC on Si gel using Et₂O-petrol (1:3) as eluent (the plate was developed $3 \times$) gave 10 (3.2 mg) and 11 (1.8 mg).

Characterization of 10. Needles (MeOH-H₂O), mp 158-160°; $[\alpha]_{578}^{21} + 46^{\circ}$ (c 0.3, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.14 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.38 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.88 (d, 3H, J = 5 Hz), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.98 (d, 3H, J = 5 Hz), 3.08-3.36 (1H, br), 3.64 (s. 3H).

Characterization of 11. Needles (MeOH-H₂O), mp 131-124: $[\alpha]_{578}^{21} + 31^{\circ}$ (c 0.2, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.10 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.37 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.90 (s, 3H), 0.94 (2 superimposed doublets, (6H, J = 7 Hz), 0.97 (s, 3H), 3.40-3.56 (1H, br), 3.67 (s, 3H).

Acetylation of 10 and 11. 10 and 11 were acetylated and worked up as in the acetylation of 2 to give the acetates 12 and 13, respectively.

Characterization of 12. Plates (MeOH-H₂O), mp 126.5–129°; (Lit. [6] mp 124–126°). $[\alpha]_{7.8}^{21}$ +48° (c 0.2, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.15 d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.40 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz),

0.84 (2 superimposed doublets, 6H, J = 6 Hz), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 4.36-4.48 (1H br).

Characterization of 13. Needles (MeOH-H₂O), mp 105-110°: $[\alpha]_{578}^{27} + 2^{\circ}$ (c 0.1, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.12 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.37 (d, 1H, J = 4 Hz), 0.84 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 0.88 (d, 3H, J = 6 Hz), 0.92 (s, 3H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H). 3.67 (s, 3H), 4.94-5.08 (1H, br)

Acknowledgements—We thank Dr. Björn Lüning for his interest in this work, Dr. Toshiaki Nishida for valuable discussions and Dr. James C. Schmidt for providing us some original data from the work (ref. [6]) of the late Professor S. Morris Kupchan and Dr. Keith S. Brown Jr. We also thank Professor Gabor Lukacs for a generous gift of cycloeucalenol. Support from the Swedish Natural Science Research Council is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dahmén, J. and Leander, K. (1976) Phytochemistry 15, 1986.
- Sawardecker, J. S., Sloneker, J. H. and Jeanes, A. R. (1965) *Analyt. Chem.* 37, 1620.
- Chizhov, O. S., Golovkina, L. S. and Wulfsson, N. S. (1966) Bull. Acad. Sci. U.S.S.R. 11, 1853.
- Björndahl, H., Hellerquist, C. G., Lindberg, B. and Svensson, S. (1970) Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 9, 610.
- Fieser, L. F. and Fieser, M. (1967) in Reagents for Org. Synthesis p. 817. John Wiley, New York.
- Brown, K. S., Jr. and Kupchan, S. M. (1964) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 86, 4424.
- Khuong-Huu, F., Sangare, M., Chari, V. M., Bekaert, A., Devys, M., Barbier, M. and Lukacs, G. (1975) Tetrahedron Letters, 1787.